UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,379	06/02/2006	Andrew Richardson	020305-004012	3882
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			ANDLER, MICHAEL S	
4 PARK PLAZA SUITE 1600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558			2876	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M TE OF THIS COMMUNIO (a). In no event, however, may a n apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ause the application to become AB ate of this communication, even if	reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Michael Andler ars on the cover sheet was IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M TE OF THIS COMMUNIO (a). In no event, however, may a reapply and will expire SIX (6) MON ause the application to become AB ate of this communication, even if y 2009. action is non-final.	2876 ith the correspondence address IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M TE OF THIS COMMUNIO (a). In no event, however, may a r apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ause the application to become AB ate of this communication, even if	ith the correspondence address IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M TE OF THIS COMMUNIO (a). In no event, however, may a n apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ause the application to become AB ate of this communication, even if	IONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
TE OF THIS COMMUNION (a). In no event, however, may a napply and will expire SIX (6) MON ause the application to become AB ate of this communication, even if a way 2009.	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
ction is non-final.						
ction is non-final.						
ction is non-final.						
This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	•					
n from consideration.						
) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
☑ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.						
Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 June 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
miner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
4) Interview S Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application					
	accepted or b) □ object awing(s) be held in abeyon is required if the drawing miner. Note the attached ariority under 35 U.S.C. § have been received. have been received in A by documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). If the certified copies not the second of the certified copies not the second of the certified copies not the certified copies n	In from consideration. In fr				

Application/Control Number: 10/581,379 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner acknowledges and has entered the arguments/amendment filed on
 4 May 2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- a) Claims 1-11, 14, 18-28, 31-42 and 45, drawn to an apparatus and related method claims 15, 17, 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oshima et al. (US 5,463,212).

Regarding claims 1, 14-15, 17-18, 31-32, 45, 46, and 48, Oshima et al. discloses a bar code scanner (Fig 20) comprising

a light source (Fig 20, item 220) for directing light obliquely through said slit in a plane normal to and aligned with said slit (Col 5, lines 61-65 and Col 18, lines 1-11), and a light detector (Fig 20, item 221) for detecting and receiving reflected light passing through the slit along a path normal thereto (Col 18, lines 1-11)

wherein the light source and the light detector are on the same side of said element (Fig 20, items 220-221 and 240) and

an elongate light transmissive opening arranged for being brought into proximity with a bar code for scanning thereof (Figs 20-21, item 233),

the light source being configured for directing a beam through said opening (See, for example, Figs 21, 54 and 29-30) and

Page 3

the light detector being configured for detecting light from said beam reflected back through the opening (See, for example, Figs 21, 54 and 29-30)

wherein said light detector and said light source are located within a body (Fig 20, items 210 and 234), and

wherein said opening comprises a light transmissive slit (Fig 32, item 241) formed in a metallic element (See Fig 32, item 240 and Col 21, lines 23-26), said metallic element being mounted relative to said body (Fig 21, item 240); and

a sheet validator (Fig 19, item 206) including a sheet path (Fig 19, item 208) along which a sheet to be validated is passed (Col 20, lines 3-10) and

a bar code scanner, according to claim 1, located for scanning a bar code on a sheet passing along the sheet path, wherein the sheet validator comprises said body (See Fig 19, item 200A and Fig 20, and Col 10, lines 23-27 and Col 1, lines 9-13).

Regarding claims **2**, **19 and 33**, Oshima et al. discloses wherein the light source is configured for directing said beam through the slit such that the beam path through the slit lies in a plane substantially aligned with the slit (See, for example, Figs 21, 54 and 29-30 and Col 18, lines 1-5).

Regarding claims **3, 20 and 34**, Oshima et al. discloses where in the light source is configured to direct light obliquely through the slit (Col 5, lines 61-65 and Col 18, lines 8-11).

Page 4

Regarding claims **4, 21 and 35**, Oshima et al. discloses wherein the light detector is configured for sensing reflections of said beam following a path through the slit that lies in a plane substantially aligned with the slit (See, for example, Figs 21, 54 and 29-30 and Col 18, lines 1-5).

Regarding claims **5**, **22** and **36**, Oshima et al. discloses wherein the light detector is directional and arranged such that it is directed along a line substantially normal to the slit (See, for example, Figs 21, 54 and 29-30 and Col 18, lines 1-5).

Regarding claims **6, 23 and 37**, Oshima et al. discloses wherein the light source is an infrared LED (Col 5, lines 61-65).

Regarding claims **7**, **24 and 38**, Oshima et al. discloses wherein the metallic element is formed from a stainless steel (Col 21, lines 25).

Regarding claims **8**, **25 and 39**, Oshima et al. discloses further comprising a panel by which a sheet can be passed (See Fig 19 and Fig 21, items 230 and 253 which together form a flat surface (i.e. "a panel") at the lower portion), the panel having an aperture through which the slit is exposed (Fig 21, item 256).

Regarding claims **9**, **26** and **40**, Oshima et al. discloses further comprising a member having a dished portion (Fig 21, item 230 where the upper curved surface represents a "dished portion"), wherein the slit is formed in an opaque element (See Fig 21, item 240 and Col 21, lines 23-26) which is accommodated in said dished portion (and the dished portion is received in said aperture (Fig 21, item 256).

Regarding claims **10-11**, **27-28** and **41-42**, Oshima et al. discloses wherein the width of the slit is in the range 0.2 mm to 0.4 mm and .3 mm (See Fig 40 and Col 30,

Application/Control Number: 10/581,379 Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

lines 42-43 where it is understood that for a = .33 mm (nominal UPC-A bar width), the range of e = .19 to .46 mm).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- a) Claims **12-13**, **29-30**, **and 43-44** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oshima et al. (US 5,463,212).

Regarding claims **12-13**, **29-30**, **and 43-44**, Oshima et al. discloses all the elements of claims **1**, **18**, **and 32** respectively.

Oshima et al. suggests that the slit sheet (Fig 20, item 240 and Fig 31) is a "thin metal plate, made of...stainless steel" (Col 21, lines 24-25) capable of being "mechanically held between the sheet pressing member 230 having a substantially circular-arc-shaped bottom surface and a tapered surface of the optical head body 250 to be warped in such a manner that the central light transmitting portion 241 facing the light restricting hole 233 projects downwards to be pressed against the reading opening portion 256" (See Col 20, lines 44-51 and Fig 21).

Oshima et al. does not particularly teach that the thickness of the thin metal plate is in the range 0.05 to .1 mm and preferably 0.075 mm.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,379

Art Unit: 2876

However, a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would recognize that any thin stainless steel plate of a thickness that is capable of being shaped to meet the spatial and optical requirements of Oshima et al. would be acceptable for use in the assembly of Fig 20, since the specific thickness of the plate does not appear to be a critical feature to the invention. In addition, applicant's claimed dimension of preferably .075 mm, which is about the thickness of a piece of paper, would clearly be capable of meeting the previously described functional requirements.

Page 6

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use a .075 mm thick stainless steel sheet metal as a slit sheet in a latent image reading apparatus, as one of the choices of sheet metal thicknesses available to the designer that would perform the same required functions.

Furthermore, it has been that held that "where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device" (See MPEP 2144.04, Section IV, Part A). (*In re Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc.*, 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed Cir. 1984), *cert.denied*, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984).

b) Claims **16**, **47**, **and 49** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oshima et al. (US 5,463,212).

Regarding claims **16**, **47** and **49**, Oshima et al. discloses wherein the slit is formed by punching a thin metallic element (Col 21, lines 25-26).

Oshima et al. suggests that "the invention may be varied in many ways" and that "all such modifications as would be obvious to one skilled in the art are intended to be included within the scope of the claims" (Col 31, lines 4-9).

Oshima et al. does not particularly teach wherein the slit is formed by chemical etching, however it would be well known to use any form of etching available at the time of the invention that does not require precision cutting (for example, wet etching or photochemical etching) in order to produce the light transmissive portion of a slit sheet since the only functional precision requirement is the equivalent of that produced by punching out the material.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to use chemical etching to produce the light transmissive portion of a slit sheet as one of the many choices of etching a thin stainless steel plate available to a designer that would produce an equivalent functioning part.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Andler whose telephone number is (571) 270-5385. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 AM to 3:30 PM EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,379 Page 8

Art Unit: 2876

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Andler/ Examiner, Art Unit 2876 /Michael G Lee/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2876